

**EV205823208**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT**

**Administrative Reset of Multiple Passwords**

**Inventors:**

**Kim Cameron  
Ahmad Abdel-Wahed  
Matthias Leibmann  
Kevin Ralph Miller  
James H. Booth  
Derek Murman  
Max L. Benson  
Felix W. Wong  
Cezar Ungureanasu**

**ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. MS1-1553US**

## **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

The instant application is related to co-pending U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/434,725, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Attribute Value Selection for Entity Objects," by Kim Cameron, Max L. Benson, Matthias Leibmann, Edward H. Wayt, Kevin Miller and James Booth; U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/435,113, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Declarative Rules for Metadirectory," by Kim Cameron, Max L. Benson, and James Booth; U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/434,726, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Relational Directory," by Kim Cameron, James Booth, Matthias Leibmann, Max L. Benson and Mark Brown; U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/435,720, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Associating and Using Information in a Metadirectory," by Max L. Benson; U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/435,712, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Preview Mode," by Kim Cameron, Max L. Benson, Derek Murman, Edward H. Wayt, Jeffrey Bisset, Jie Liu, and Jing Wu; U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/435,708, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Rules Customization and Related Methods," by Max L. Benson, Michael Jerger, Edward H. Wayt, Ken Mark, Kim Cameron, Matthias Leibmann, Jing Wu; and U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/434,411, filed May 8, 2003, entitled "Automated Information Management and Related Methods," by Max L. Benson, Stephen Siu, and James Booth; all of which are assigned to the assignee of the present invention, and incorporated herein by reference for all that they teach and disclose.

## **TECHNICAL FIELD**

This invention relates generally to password management and more specifically to administrative reset of multiple passwords.

## BACKGROUND

To entrust a computing system with confidential personal and financial information requires a user to possess a key or password to the information and a belief that the key or password cannot be copied or guessed. In this regard, passwords have become popular for guarding information, since a password exists itself as information can be formulated for familiarity and easy remembrance without a physical artifact. Passwords are easy to remember without a physical artifact only if they are limited in number. With multiplication of computing and Internet services, it is commonplace to have more than a dozen frequently used online accounts, each requiring a username and password.

To remember usernames and passwords, a user, Nancy M, writes some of her passwords on a slip of paper that she carries in her purse. If the purse is taken, there is still some security in the fact that only she knows that the passwords on are for an online bank account and for an online credit card account. A thief would have to guess her bank name and her credit card company, which might not be difficult depending on the other contents of the purse.

Nancy keeps the passwords and usernames for logging onto other online accounts on slips of paper stuck to her computer monitor. This technique is more secure at home than at work. At home there is a password for a shopping account, a student loan account, an online financial service, a public email account, a PAYPAL<sup>®</sup> service, and a few other free and subscription services. Password management is more difficult at work. Nancy has her work login credentials memorized, but when she needs to get online for one of the services she usually uses at home she cannot easily remember which password is used with which

1 account. She posted some slips of paper with passwords onto her computer  
2 monitor at work, but this did not seem very secure and some of the slips have  
3 fallen off spontaneously. Like a person with so many keys the keys are literally  
4 falling out of her pockets into the hands of a random finder, Nancy's passwords  
5 have gotten out of hand.

6 Most of Nancy's accounts do not come under a "single-sign" on umbrella,  
7 notably her bank account, so the single-sign on solution will not work for her.  
8 Besides a couple of her accounts were custom-programmed by the small company  
9 she works for and will not likely ever subscribe to a single-sign on service.

## 10 11 SUMMARY

12 Subject matter includes a password management system in which a web  
13 application obtains a list of accounts associated with a given user from an identity  
14 integration system connected to diverse data sources and in which a password can  
15 be updated in each data source, even when the identity integration system does not  
16 natively communicate with a data source. In example implementations, a user  
17 may access an exemplary password management system via a web application, a  
18 help desk, or a kiosk application that has access to the identity integration system.

19 The password management system is capable of calling out for custom  
20 logic to connect with a given data source having one of the user accounts, and/or  
21 performing custom password management on an account using custom logic, e.g.,  
22 logic supplied by a user or administrator.

23 An exemplary password management system allows a data source that does  
24 not communicate in the same manner as the identity integration system to maintain  
25 its own password management techniques and functions, and uses various

1 methods to gain credentials and/or authority to change or set a new password for a  
2 user account on the data source.

3 In one implementation, an exemplary password management system uses  
4 an interface, such as a WINDOWS<sup>®</sup> MANAGEMENT INSTRUMENTATION  
5 (WMI) API, that can be upgraded to provide new password management and  
6 identity integration system features without web application designers having to  
7 overhaul former versions of the web application. Hence, an exemplary password  
8 management system according to the subject matter is extensible and scalable to  
9 diverse accounts: via an identity integration system that can connect to  
10 heterogeneous data sources; via a flexible interface, such as one or more WMI  
11 APIs; via its web application that can be custom-designed because of the flexible  
12 interface; and via identity integration system management agents that can perform  
13 call outs for custom logic to connect with different data sources and perform  
14 custom password management if needed or desired.

15 An exemplary password management system does not require a piece of  
16 proprietary software or hardware to be installed on a connected data source for the  
17 connected data source to participate in the password management, as obtaining  
18 proper credentials occurs on the identity integration system's server side.

19 An exemplary password management system does not maintain a store of  
20 passwords, only the means specific to each connected data source to manage a  
21 password for the data source, thereby resulting in enhanced security.

22 Password operations can be audited to a centralized repository where an  
23 audit record for a password operation tracks a user ID, web applications,  
24

1 management agents, connector objects, and other ancillary data and events  
2 associated with the password operation.

### 6 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

7 Fig. 1 is a block diagram of an exemplary configuration of an exemplary  
8 password management system.

9 Fig. 2 is a block diagram of another exemplary configuration of an  
10 exemplary password management system.

11 Fig. 3 is a block diagram of yet another exemplary configuration of an  
12 exemplary password management system.

13 Fig. 4 is a graphic representation of an exemplary password management  
14 system in greater detail.

15 Fig. 5 is a graphic representation of an exemplary password change  
16 operation.

17 Fig. 6 is a graphic representation of an exemplary password set operation.

18 Fig. 7 is a graphic representation of an exemplary password set operation  
19 using custom logic.

20 Fig. 8 is a graphic representation of exemplary centralized auditing during  
21 password management.

22 Fig. 9 is a flow diagram of an exemplary password management method.

23 Fig. 10 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method of identifying a user and  
24 locating related accounts.

1        Fig. 11 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method of password resetting  
2 using a help desk.

3        Fig. 12 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method of password changing  
4 using a help desk.

5        Fig. 13 is a graphic representation of an exemplary identity integration  
6 system suitable for use with an exemplary password management system.

7        Fig. 14 is a block diagram of an exemplary identity information  
8 management process performable by the exemplary identity integration system of  
9 Fig. 13.

10       Fig. 15 is a block diagram of an exemplary computing device suitable for  
11 performing aspects of the subject matter.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

### Overview

**Fig. 1** shows an exemplary password management system 100 for administrative reset of multiple passwords. Administrative reset means that a user's passwords for guarding multiple data sources can be changed, set, and/or reset ("updated") using joined objects across the multiple data sources, stored in an exemplary identity integration system, to perform password operations.

A password can be a key kept secret by a user for gaining access to an account, and may include combinations of information, so that some "passwords" include a piece of username information with a secret combination of alphanumeric characters. An exemplary password management system 100 enables access to user account information in an identity integration system 102 in order to provide working credential information across multiple, heterogeneous accounts 104, 106, 108, 110 and the ability to globally change or set multiple passwords 112, 114, 116, 118 in those accounts.

As a normal part of the operation of an identity integration system, a great deal of knowledge is built up about users' credentials, i.e., what accounts exist for a given person, where they are located, and sometimes whether passwords can be changed or reset. An exemplary identity integration system 102 has both integrated identity information about a user 120 and their associated accounts and the ability to manage these diverse accounts. However, during synchronization of accounts with the integrated identity information in the identity integration system 102, password information is treated as a special case, due to the security implications. True synchronization of passwords may not be desirable as it creates



1 security risks. However, an exemplary identity integration system 102 according  
2 to the subject matter may be able to change or set passwords and ensure the  
3 consistency of passwords across multiple heterogeneous accounts.

4 In one implementation, through an interface, a user 120 or an application  
5 can query the identity integration system 102 for a list of accounts that exist for  
6 the user 120, and then have the identity integration system 102 automatically  
7 change or set the passwords 112, 114, 116, 118.

8 The subject matter described herein allows changing or setting passwords  
9 in many different types of accounts, even accounts that the identity integration  
10 system 102 does not natively communicate with. The subject matter performs or  
11 requests password management without retrofitting the various types of accounts  
12 with an extra agent or an extra piece of software. Passwords for a user's various  
13 accounts are not stored in the identity integration system 102, offering no target  
14 for malicious access.

15 The subject matter is extensible, because an exemplary password  
16 management system 100 according to the subject matter not only sets a password  
17 in a system that the identity integration system does not natively communicate  
18 with, but can present interfaces for which a user, administrator, customer, etc. can  
19 sometimes write their own custom logic or code. In addition, when features and  
20 functionalities are added to an exemplary password management system 100, the  
21 added features and functionalities are immediately available to the presented  
22 interfaces, so that application developers writing password management  
23 applications and/or custom code to interface with an exemplary password  
24 management system 100 do not have to immediately update their applications—  
25 they can use the same interfaces that they have always used without alteration.

1       The subject matter is secure, because an exemplary identity integration  
2 system 102 does not store passwords on the system and uses secure encryption for  
3 communication between the identity integration system 102 and connected data  
4 systems. There is no central credential store or password repository that hackers  
5 can target.

6       The subject matter is non-intrusive, because no extra agent or layer of  
7 complexity is imposed on pre-existing proprietary password management  
8 schemata used by heterogeneous data systems. There is no need to install a piece  
9 of password management system 100 software on a server to be included in the  
10 password management.

11       An exemplary identity integration system 102 suitable for performing the  
12 password management functions described herein is described below with respect  
13 to Fig. 13, while an associated identity information management process (IIMP)  
14 performed by the exemplary identity integration system 102 is described below  
15 with respect to Fig. 14. A computing device suitable for hosting an identity  
16 integration system 102 is described with respect to Fig. 15.

### 17 18       Exemplary Password Management System Configurations

19       An identity integration system 102 is usually deployed on a server 122  
20 communicatively coupled with a network 124, such as a closed network that uses  
21 an available network protocol. In the implementation illustrated in Fig. 1, a user  
22 120 accesses the identity integration system 102 through the network 124, e.g., via  
23 a webpage. The user 120 enters appropriate credentials and receives a list of  
24 accounts eligible for password management, and selects which accounts are to  
25 have passwords managed. The identity integration system 102 takes the user's

1 selections and changes or sets passwords in the various accounts in a manner that  
2 depends on the nature of each account.

3 **Fig. 2** shows a second implementation of an exemplary password  
4 management system 200, wherein a user 120 accesses a help desk 202, for  
5 example, by telephone, to begin the process of password management. A support  
6 person at the help desk 202 verifies the identity of the user 120 and obtains a list  
7 of the user's accounts (e.g., 104, 106, 108, 110) from the identity integration  
8 system 102, usually over a network 124. A help desk 202 available by telephone  
9 can be useful when a user 120 has forgotten an essential piece of information  
10 needed for website logon, or does not have network access. Setting passwords  
11 using a help desk 202 will be discussed more fully below with respect to Fig. 15.

12 **Fig. 3** shows a third implementation of an exemplary password  
13 management system 300, wherein a user 120 accesses a "kiosk" application 302 to  
14 begin the process of password management. The term "kiosk" is used here as a  
15 nickname for a web application that caters directly without the intervention of a  
16 help desk to a user who has forgotten his password. Hence, the user 120 interacts  
17 directly with the kiosk application 302 but must negotiate access to the identity  
18 integration system 102 for resetting passwords by convincing the kiosk application  
19 of the user's identity.

20 In one implementation, the kiosk application 302 presents the user 120 with  
21 one or two questions to answer for which the system has stored correct answers.  
22 The user 120 has previously told the identity integration system 102 which  
23 questions to ask in the event of a lost password and the correct answers and the  
24 identity integration system 102 has stored this information in a database. Example  
25 questions are "where were you born" and "what was the name of your favorite

1 childhood pet.” The strength of this identity validation method depends on the  
2 exclusiveness of the secret information set up previously by the user 120. If the  
3 user 120 is able to give the correct answer(s) to the posed question(s), the identity  
4 integration system 102 resets the password for the user 120 to a new password  
5 selected by the user 120.

6 The manner in which the identity integration system 102 resets the user’s  
7 password in the kiosk application 302 differs from the password change  
8 application associated with Fig. 1 and described in greater detail with respect to  
9 Fig. 14. Since the user’s password is unknown, the identity integration system 102  
10 performs password resets using the identity of a privileged user account that the  
11 kiosk application 302 is running under. Hence, whereas with the password change  
12 application described with respect to Fig. 1 the user 120 provides the actual  
13 credentials (a password) for changing passwords, with an exemplary kiosk  
14 application 302, credentials to reset passwords are possessed by or accessible by  
15 the kiosk application 302 itself, and the kiosk application 302 may extend  
16 password management privileges to a user 120 if the kiosk application 302 can  
17 come to trust the user 120.

18 Although the password management systems shown in Figs. 1-3 use  
19 applications and/or help desk personnel to facilitate password management  
20 operations, another alternative is using a directory to access the password  
21 management capabilities of an identity integration system 102.

22 **Fig. 4** shows an exemplary password management system 400 in greater  
23 detail. A storage layer 402 of an exemplary identity integration system 102  
24 (described more fully below with respect to Fig. 13) includes a metaverse space  
25 404, which is a core storage space that persists integrated identity information,

1 e.g., as a universe of integrated identity objects known as a metaverse 406. The  
2 metaverse 406 may include a user object 408 of integrated identity information  
3 associated with a user 120. The storage layer 402 also includes a connector space  
4 410, which is a buffer space or staging area for information flowing into and/or out  
5 of the metaverse space 404. A connector space 410 may persist connector objects  
6 412, 414, 416, each representing a connected data source (e.g., one of 104, 106,  
7 108) communicatively coupled with the exemplary identity integration system  
8 102.

9 Each of multiple data sources (e.g., including 104, 106, 108) may differ,  
10 e.g., in type and/or platform. Thus, user accounts on one or more ACTIVE  
11 DIRECTORY<sup>®</sup> servers, one or more LOTUS NOTES servers, one or more SUN  
12 OPEN NET ENVIRONMENT ("ONE") servers, one ore more WINDOWS<sup>®</sup>  
13 NT<sup>™</sup> servers, one or more NOVELL<sup>®</sup> EDIRECTORY<sup>™</sup> servers, one or more  
14 databases, one or more files, one or more metadirectory systems, etc. may be  
15 simultaneously connected to or connectable to the exemplary identity integration  
16 system 102. A single user 120 may have an ACTIVE DIRECTORY<sup>®</sup> user account  
17 104 on an ACTIVE DIRECTORY<sup>®</sup> server, a SUN ONE user account 106 on a  
18 SUN ONE server, and a flat file 108 connected or connectable to the exemplary  
19 identity integration system 102. A representation of at least a part of each of these  
20 data sources (104, 106, 108) may exist as respective connector objects 412, 414,  
21 416 in the connector space 410. The aforementioned user object 408 in the  
22 metaverse 406 may include unified, integrated identity information, a "unified  
23 view," of information about the user 120 and the user's associated accounts 104,  
24 106, 108, including a comprehensive listing of the accounts and harmonized  
25

1 information consistent or as consistent as reasonably possible across the multiple  
2 accounts, e.g., consistent name, address, age, email address, etc.

3 In the illustrated exemplary password management system 400, each user  
4 account 104, 106, 108 is protected by a corresponding password, that is, each  
5 different account may have not only a unique password, but a unique technique,  
6 function, and/or schema of setting, changing, and managing its password. Not  
7 every user account, however, necessarily has password protection. In one  
8 implementation, the integrated identity information in the user object 408 allows a  
9 discrimination between password-managed user accounts and user accounts  
10 without passwords. In one implementation, the user object 408 does not make this  
11 distinction, but a distinction can be drawn from configuration information of  
12 management agents (“MAs”) described below.

13 Each connected data source (e.g., 104, 106, 108) is managed with respect to  
14 the exemplary identity integration system 102 by an MA, e.g., one of 418, 420,  
15 422. In the illustrated implementation, each MA (e.g., 418) is configured  
16 specifically for its associated connected data source (e.g., 104) since each  
17 connected data source may have a different format, platform, use, location,  
18 protocol, etc. An MA 418 for managing a user’s ACTIVE DIRECTORY® account  
19 104 (e.g., existing on a remote server) may be configured differently than an MA  
20 for managing an ACTIVE DIRECTORY® account residing on the same server 122  
21 that hosts the identity integration system 102, and differently than an MA 420 that  
22 manages a user’s SUN ONE account 106 or an MA that manages a user’s LOTUS  
23 NOTES account.

24 Each MA 418, 420, 422 performs connectivity and management between a  
25 connected data source (e.g., 104) and the metaverse 406. The user object 408 has

1 pointers to all the different accounts that a particular user 120 has in different  
2 systems (i.e., diverse connected data sources 104, 106, 108) and has information  
3 about whether the password of each account can be managed via the MA (e.g.,  
4 418) configured for that connected data source (e.g., 104). Since the integrated  
5 identity information in the user object 408 has information about these diverse  
6 accounts, the webpage 428 can display with respect to each user 120, which  
7 accounts can have passwords managed on them.

8 In one implementation of the subject matter, an exemplary server 122  
9 running and/or participating in an exemplary identity integration system 102  
10 exposes one or more interfaces 424, such as one or more widely available  
11 MICROSOFT® WINDOWS® MANAGEMENT INSTRUMENTATION (WMI)  
12 application program interfaces (APIs). An exemplary web-based password  
13 management application (“PwdMgmtApp”) 426 uses the exposed interface(s) 424,  
14 e.g., via a webpage 428, to perform and/or to allow a user 120 or help desk (202)  
15 support person to perform password management of multiple heterogeneous user  
16 accounts (e.g., 104, 106, 108) through an exemplary identity integration system  
17 102. In other words, an exemplary identity integration system 102 provides an  
18 underlying engine or “plumbing” that powers or carries out many of the password  
19 management processes.

20 If an exemplary password management system 400 and/or server 122 is  
21 implemented as a MICROSOFT® IDENTITY INTEGRATION SERVER  
22 (“MIIS”) product, the interface(s) 424 can be one or more WMI APIs as described  
23 above. The WMI capabilities are fully documented in help files that ship with  
24 versions of MIIS. A third-party application developer can freely design various  
25

1 exemplary PwdMgmtApps 426, each of which can use an exemplary identity  
2 integration system 102 through a WMI interface 424.

3 In one implementation, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 has the capacity  
4 to query the identity integration system 102 to find a user object 408 in the  
5 metaverse 406 corresponding to the user 120. As mentioned, the integrated  
6 identity information in the user object 408 includes information from which a list  
7 of user accounts 104, 106, 108 for a user 120 can be derived, as well as  
8 information that allows the PwdMgmtApp 426 to list only those accounts eligible  
9 for password management. The PwdMgmtApp 426 presents a list of accounts to  
10 the user 120, usually with a means for selecting one or more of the displayed  
11 accounts, such as selection boxes 430. In one implementation, the PwdMgmtApp  
12 426 also prompts the user 120 for an old password 432 or other credential  
13 information verifying the user 120, and prompts the user 120 for a new password  
14 434, and perhaps a confirmation 436 of the new password 434. The  
15 PwdMgmtApp 426 collects the user's account selections and new password 434,  
16 and informs an exemplary identity integration system 102 to change or set the  
17 passwords on the selected accounts to the new password 434.

18 How an exemplary identity integration system 102 changes or sets pre-  
19 existing passwords (e.g., 112, 114, 116) on selected accounts to a new password  
20 434 varies because of the heterogeneous nature of the different connected data  
21 sources 104, 106, 108. Each connected data source may require a different  
22 treatment. However, an exemplary identity integration system 102 is already  
23 equipped via the MAs 418, 420, 422 to manage different connected data sources  
24 104, 106, 108 in a manner that best suits each connected data source.  
25



1 Since an exemplary identity integration system 102, which may be thought  
2 of as an engine underlying an exemplary password management system 400, can  
3 manage diverse connected data sources 104, 106, 108 on the server side of server-  
4 client relationships (e.g., via call-outs for custom logic supplied by an  
5 administrator of a connected data source), an administrator or organization may  
6 extend password functionality to many kinds of systems.

7 An organization using an exemplary password management system 400 can  
8 implement their own password management features in a very scoped and  
9 manageable way: if there is an MA (e.g., 418) that is provided with an exemplary  
10 identity integration system 102 to manage a certain type of connected data source  
11 (e.g., 104) and the MA 418 is inherently amenable to password management, the  
12 organization may use the provided MA 418. For a connected data source that uses  
13 an MA 422 that does not inherently support password management, such as an  
14 MA 422 for a flat file 108, an organization that wants to provide password  
15 management for the flat file 108 may do so, and may also do so for each object to  
16 be managed in the flat file 108. In other words, an exemplary password  
17 management system 400 can use a custom logic call-out feature of an exemplary  
18 identity integration system 102 to set a password in a connected data source 108  
19 that an exemplary identity integration system 102 does not natively communicate  
20 with. This will be discussed in more detail below, with respect to Fig. 6. Thus, an  
21 exemplary identity integration system 102 product may not be able to  
22 communicate “out of the box” (natively) with every kind of system an  
23 organization might want to include in password management, but can use calls for  
24 custom logic to do so, providing an exemplary password management system 400  
25 with unlimited extensibility. If the exemplary identity integration system 102 is a

1 version of MIIS, an administrator familiar with producing one kind of custom  
2 rules extension can extend password management to diverse connected data  
3 sources through the same kind of custom rules extension without having to learn  
4 new functions or features.

5 From an infrastructure aspect, designers of exemplary PwdMgmtApps 426  
6 used with a MIIS product do not have to retool each time an exemplary identity  
7 integration system 102 as changes versions, because integration of new features  
8 and functionality on the server side is immediately available to WMI API  
9 interface(s) 424. That is, an application developer does not have to accommodate  
10 new components and broader password management scope as versions progress,  
11 since this is done automatically while the application developer uses the same  
12 interface as before. A software developer can develop logic to manage passwords  
13 and/or to display a set of accounts to a user using an exemplary interface 424, and  
14 if an exemplary identity integration system 102 being used with the exemplary  
15 interface 424 is upgraded with new features, (e.g., additional MAs for managing  
16 passwords), the software developer does not have to revisit and rewrite the former  
17 application as the former application will already be making correct interface calls.  
18 So without writing additional code, the software developer will automatically  
19 receive enhanced functionality because of the way the one or more exemplary  
20 interface(s) 424 are used.

### 21 22 Exemplary Password Management of Diverse Accounts

23 An exemplary password management process can use, at least in part, an  
24 exemplary identity information management process (IIMP) 1400 (performed by  
25 an exemplary identity integration system 102) described below with reference to

1 Fig. 14. An exemplary password management process may be described from a  
2 user's point of view, as described in the following example scenarios.

3 In one implementation, a user, "NancyM", wants to change passwords in  
4 some of her many different accounts. She has, among others, a primary ACTIVE  
5 DIRECTORY® account in the Milkyway domain, a LOTUS NOTES account, and  
6 an account on a SUN ONE server. She has been keeping her various passwords  
7 on small pieces of paper and sticking these to her computer monitor. However,  
8 she is becoming worried about the usefulness of this system as the passwords and  
9 usernames have multiplied and some of the pieces of paper have fallen off and  
10 could be lost. She cannot always remember which password goes with which  
11 account. Other people could also come into her office, see the passwords, and try  
12 to crack her accounts by using informed guessing techniques.

13 To use an exemplary password management system 400 in this  
14 implementation, Nancy logs onto a primary account and types in a uniform  
15 resource locator (URL) to an exemplary webpage 428 generated by an exemplary  
16 PwdMgmtApp 426. The PwdMgmtApp 426 has logic to determine that Nancy is  
17 logged in as NancyM (her username) in the Milkyway domain, and displays for  
18 Nancy a list of accounts from an exemplary identity integration system 102  
19 underlying the exemplary password management system 400 used by the  
20 PwdMgmtApp 426. For example, the webpage 428 could display, among others,  
21 her ACTIVE DIRECTORY® account 104 in the Milkyway domain, her account in  
22 NOTES, and her SUN ONE account 106.

23 Described in greater detail, in order to display a list of Nancy's user  
24 accounts, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 has the capacity to communicate with  
25 the exemplary identity integration system 102, sending a message that could be

1 paraphrased as "Milkyway NancyM is logged in right now, please return a list of  
2 her accounts amenable to password management." The exemplary identity  
3 integration system 102 executes a query, finds Milkyway NancyM, then finds her  
4 user object 408 in the metaverse 406, also finds her accounts 104, 106, 108, and  
5 then sends information back to the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 indicating  
6 whether or not each account can be managed with a password. An exemplary  
7 PwdMgmtApp 426 makes a determination of whether an account can be managed  
8 with a password based on different kinds of accounts available and based on  
9 different kinds of objects managed in different kinds of systems. In this case,  
10 Nancy's three accounts are ones on which password management can be  
11 performed. She might have several different objects represented in the metaverse  
12 406 that are not amenable to password management, a circumstance that an  
13 exemplary identity integration system 102, such as an MIIS product, can test for.

14 In one implementation, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 has a  
15 configuration setting (that an administrator can control) that determines whether or  
16 not Nancy can select or unselect accounts that she wants to change and/or set  
17 passwords on. In the present case, an administrator has decided Nancy may select  
18 which accounts she wants to manage passwords on, so in one implementation  
19 Nancy chooses a "select all" option, types her old password, types a new  
20 password, types the new password again for confirmation, and clicks her mouse on  
21 a "change my password" button. An exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 establishes  
22 whether or not servers that are going to receive the new password are operational  
23 and communicating (i.e., "up") at that very instant, and (based on an optional  
24 PwdMgmtApp 426 configuration setting) if all servers are up, the PwdMgmtApp  
25 426 attempts to perform the password operation proper to each server. If certain

1 servers are down, e.g., if the Milkyway server (on which she logged in) is down,  
2 an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 can be configured so that no password  
3 operations will be attempted because the main server is down. If all respective  
4 servers are up and available, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 may have another  
5 configuration option that allows non-secure password management—i.e.,  
6 password management using MAs not configured to communicate with their  
7 respective connected data sources using a secure communications protocol. In  
8 the present example, an administrator decides NOT to allow non-secure password  
9 management. In one implementation, therefore, Nancy's three accounts appear in  
10 the account list because all three are reached securely, as determined by an  
11 exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426. When an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 checks to  
12 see if server connections are all available (checks to see if servers are up), it may  
13 also check to make sure the servers are all still secure.

14 When an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 determines that all relevant servers  
15 are up and that they are all reachable securely, then depending on the type of  
16 connected data source, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 performs either a change  
17 password or a set password operation on each account returned in the user's list of  
18 accounts on the webpage 428.

19 **Fig. 5** shows an exemplary password management operation 500 in a first  
20 user account displayed for a user on the exemplary webpage 428. Nancy M's  
21 Milkyway account is an ACTIVE DIRECTORY® account 104 that supports a  
22 password change, as opposed to only a password set. If Nancy has logged on  
23 using her username and has entered her old password 432 and a new password  
24 434, then an exemplary identity integration system 102 may push her old and/or  
25 new password 434 in real-time to domain controllers for a password change, for

1 example, through a Kerberos change password function call. (Because Nancy is  
2 changing her old password, a Kerberos change password function typically does  
3 not require escalation of privilege in order to be called, only the old password is  
4 required to set a new password.) It should be noted that the credentials and/or  
5 authority for making a password change associated with her ACTIVE  
6 DIRECTORY® account 104—how the identity integration system 102 verifies that  
7 it is really Nancy M trying to change the password—are inherent in the success or  
8 failure of the change password call, rather than by trying to authenticate Nancy's  
9 credentials stored in the metaverse 406. This prevents the metaverse 406 from  
10 becoming a credential store, which would be a security risk. The exemplary  
11 identity integration system 102 returns a status for each operation in each account  
12 to the webpage 428.

13 In one implementation, a password management history 502 is also written  
14 to a connector space 410 of the identity integration system 102, and typically kept  
15 in a connector object 412 associated with Nancy's ACTIVE DIRECTORY®  
16 account 104. The password management history may log such items as date and  
17 time of a password management operation, a person associated with the operation,  
18 the type of operation (e.g., password change or set), and the status of the operation  
19 (e.g., success or failure). A centralized auditing record may also be kept of a  
20 password management operation, as will be discussed more fully below with  
21 respect to Fig. 8.

22 **Fig. 6** shows a password management operation 600 on the next account  
23 106 returned in the user's list of accounts displayed in the webpage 428. Nancy's  
24 SUN ONE account 106 is managed by an MA 420 that does not support a  
25 password change operation. But the password for the SUN ONE account 106 can

1 still be managed using an administrative password set operation. An exemplary  
2 identity integration system 102 can use credentials configured in an MA 420 that  
3 communicates with the SUN ONE account 106, such as an administrator user-ID  
4 that has the appropriate permissions to set a password for Nancy's SUN ONE  
5 account 106. When an administrator first configured the MA for the account 106  
6 the administrator supplied credentials having the appropriate permissions to do a  
7 "set password" function. That is, prior to Nancy's logon, an administrator has  
8 configured the MA 420, entered correct credentials, enabled password  
9 management, e.g., as an option checkbox in an MA configuration environment,  
10 and has verified that information about Nancy's account 106 is actually joined to  
11 entries in her user object 408 in the metaverse 406, for example, by running a  
12 synchronization engine.

13 Using the administrator credential(s) configured in the MA 420, Nancy's  
14 password is reset to its new value. Like Nancy's ACTIVE DIRECTORY®  
15 account 104, a connector object 414 for Nancy's SUN ONE account 106 may have  
16 a password management history 602 that includes such items as date and time of a  
17 password management operation, a person associated with the operation, the type  
18 of operation (e.g., password change or set), and the status of the operation (e.g.,  
19 success or fail).

20 **Fig. 7** shows a password management operation 700 on the next account  
21 108 returned in the user's list of accounts in the webpage 428. Nancy's flat file  
22 108 is managed by an MA 422 that does not support a password change operation  
23 and requires custom logic 702 supplied by an administrator of the data system on  
24 which the flat file resides in order for the MA 422 to communicate with the flat  
25 file 108 and perform password management. An exemplary password

1 management system 400 may provide an interface for an MA to call for custom  
2 logic, as will be described in greater detail with respect to Fig. 13. The custom  
3 logic 702 permits connection, for example, to a mainframe computer, a UNIX or  
4 VAX system, etc. and/or permits custom password management.

5 Like Nancy's ACTIVE DIRECTORY® account 104 and her SUN ONE  
6 account 106, a connector object 416 for Nancy's flat file 108 may have a password  
7 management history 704 that includes such items as date and time of a password  
8 management operation, a person associated with the operation, the type of  
9 operation (e.g., password change or set), and the status of the operation (e.g.,  
10 success or fail).

11 The exemplary webpage 428 receives back from the exemplary identity  
12 integration system 102 all the statuses of the password management operations  
13 performed on her accounts 104, 106, 108 and depending on configuration options  
14 may display for Nancy a connection status of each server associated with each  
15 account 104, 106, 108, whether each connection is secure, and a status of each  
16 password change or set operation.

17 In a second implementation, Nancy has forgotten her password and phones  
18 a help desk 202. By being able to look at information that is available about  
19 Nancy internally, a person at the help desk verifies that the caller is Nancy M.,  
20 e.g., because the user knows meta-password type information (place of birth, name  
21 of Nancy's pet, etc.). Based on verification, the help person goes to an exemplary  
22 PwdMgmtApp 426 to perform password setting. The help desk person might ask  
23 Nancy what her main login account is, in this instance, her Milkyway ACTIVE  
24 DIRECTORY® account 104. The help desk person may then search for Milkyway  
25 Nancy M and the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 queries the identity integration



1 system 102 to find out if it recognizes Milkyway Nancy M and what accounts she  
2 has. The webpage 428 viewed by a person at the help desk 202 can be the same as  
3 the webpage 428 viewed by Nancy when Nancy herself logged into the exemplary  
4 password management system 400 in the previously described implementation.

5 Once the user's list of accounts is available, the password management  
6 process as administered by the help desk person is almost the same as when Nancy  
7 herself logged onto the webpage 428, with the exception that when an exemplary  
8 PwdMgmtApp 426 decides to manage Nancy's password on her ACTIVE  
9 DIRECTORY® account 104, since she has forgotten her password to that account,  
10 the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 relies on administrative credentials in the  
11 associated MA 418 to perform an administrative reset of her password 112, rather  
12 than a change of the password 112 (assuming her account 104 is in a domain  
13 and/or forest subject to permissions configured in the MA 418).

14 In one implementation, an exemplary password management system 400  
15 does not check different strengths of password policy that administrators can set in  
16 different systems hosting different types of accounts 104, 106, 108. In one  
17 implementation, an exemplary identity integration system 102 assumes that a  
18 primary account is in a forest that has the most stringent password policy and does  
19 not implement a password policy checking function on a server 122. In an  
20 alternative implementation, an exemplary password management system 400  
21 implements a password policy checking function for each system hosting a user  
22 account (e.g., 104, 106, 108). In one implementation, an exemplary password  
23 management system 400 exposes a user interface for an administrator to define  
24 what an operative password policy will be, and the administrator can define a  
25 password policy once in the exemplary identity integration system 102 and then

1 for different connected systems. If such a password policy is consistently  
2 enforced, it reduces an amount of administrative overhead required to maintain  
3 consistent password policy.

4 **Fig. 8** shows exemplary centralized auditing 800 of password management  
5 operations, providing a supplement or an alternative to the storing of a password  
6 management history 502 on a connector object 412 associated with a particular  
7 user account that was described above.

8 An exemplary centralized auditing operation 800 stores details of a  
9 password management operation in a centralized auditing repository and/or  
10 database 802. In one implementation, a central audit record 804 is more centrally  
11 available and more comprehensive in recorded details and links to details about a  
12 password operation than a password management history 502 stored with a  
13 connector object of a particular user 120. A central audit record 804 may correlate  
14 a password update operation with many pieces of information about the operation:  
15 the PwdMgmtApp 426 that triggered the password update; the userID associated  
16 with the operation; management agent(s) 418 affected and/or updated by the  
17 operation; connector objects 412 associated with the operation, etc.

18 In one implementation, each password set or change operation is stored as  
19 a record in the centralized log as opposed to making pointers to management  
20 agents 418. That is, a record for each password operation performed on each  
21 connected data source is kept independently. Of course, there are many various  
22 ways of laying out data in a centralized logging and/or centralized auditing  
23 repository/database 802 that those skilled in the art would easily be able to devise.

24 In one implementation, when a password management application, such as  
25 an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426, is about to set or change a password, the

1 application makes a call, e.g., via an interface 424 such as WMI, to a server, for  
2 example server 122, to log the initiation of a password set or change operation  
3 giving such information as tracking pointers (e.g., tracking GUIDs 808, 810) for  
4 correlation of the related set and change operations on different management  
5 agents 418; a user ID such as "NancyM" associated with the current password  
6 management operation; and its own identity, that is, the identity of the calling  
7 PwdMgmtApp 426. The server 122 then logs this information to a centralized  
8 auditing location, such as the centralized auditing repository/database 802 together  
9 with ancillary information such as date and time of password management  
10 occurrence, success or failure, errors generated, etc.

11 When the application, such as PwdMgmtApp 426, makes the call(s) to the  
12 server 122 to set, reset, or change a password on a particular connector object 412,  
13 one or more tracking GUIDs (e.g., GUID 806) can be included in the connector  
14 object history (e.g., 502) together with other details of the operation. An  
15 implementation of the interface 424 (e.g., WMI) called in the server 122 logs  
16 information about each password set or change to the centralized auditing  
17 repository/database 802 together with the date, time, tracking GUID(s), identifier  
18 of management agent 418, identifier of the connector object 412, etc. The central  
19 audit record 804 may be laid out in many different ways. For example, a central  
20 audit record 804 may be kept for multiple password operations resulting from a  
21 single user request, in which the central audit record 804 tracks management  
22 agents 418. Alternatively, separate central audit record 804 may be kept for each  
23 individual password set or change operation for each single data source or user  
24 account. This latter more microscopic approach may be useful for some types of  
25 audit analysis in which each password set or change operation needs to appear

1 more empirically as an individual password operation. The centralized auditing  
2 repository 802 can then be consulted and statistical performance and error studies,  
3 etc., can then be performed on the central audit records 804 for numerous  
4 individual password operations. Persons having ordinary skill in the arts of  
5 database layout and data auditing will appreciate that there are many ways of  
6 laying out data in a centralized logging and/or centralized auditing  
7 repository/database 802 and in central audit records 804.

8 The various tracking pointers, when used, such as GUIDs 806, 808, 810,  
9 812, create strong central audit records 804 with cross-linkage between  
10 applications 426, management agents 418 if tracked, connector objects 412 if  
11 tracked, and the various other data recorded in relation to a particular password  
12 operation.

### 14 Exemplary Methods of Password Management

15 **Fig. 9** shows an exemplary password management method 900 according to  
16 the subject matter. In the following flow diagram, the operations are summarized  
17 in individual blocks. The operations may be performed in hardware and/or as  
18 machine-readable instructions (software or firmware), e.g., that can be executed by  
19 a component of an exemplary password management system 400.

20 At block 902, an identity integration system is queried for user accounts.

21 At block 904, at least some of the user accounts are selected to have their  
22 passwords changed or set.

23 At block 906, the identity integration system changes or sets passwords of  
24 the selected accounts.  
25

1 Different implementations of an exemplary password management process  
2 (e.g., a user self-service method and a help desk-assisted method) may use similar  
3 process segments to achieve their goals. In some implementations an exemplary  
4 password management process may use a “user-identification / account-location”  
5 segment and a subsequent “password change or set” segment, as described below.

6 **Fig. 10** shows an exemplary first segment of a password management  
7 process: a user-identification and/or account-location method 1000. In the  
8 following flow diagram, the operations are summarized in individual blocks. The  
9 processes in the flow diagram can be performed by example components in an  
10 exemplary password management system 400. Thus, the operations may be  
11 performed in hardware and/or as machine-readable instructions (software or  
12 firmware), e.g., that can be executed by a component of the exemplary password  
13 management system 400. The illustrated exemplary method 1000 describes at  
14 least in part interactions between a user 120, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426, an  
15 exemplary identity integration system 102, and exemplary interface(s) 424. In one  
16 implementation, the exemplary method 1000 is performed by components of an  
17 exemplary MIIS identity integration system.

18 The illustrated segment of a password management process involves  
19 locating data required to actually change or set a password in a subsequent  
20 operation. Thus, no data is actually changed in an exemplary identity integration  
21 system 102 or in a connected data source during this segment.

### 22 23 Identifying a User

24 A user 120 initiates an action in one of two ways. The first way is by  
25 calling a help desk 202 to ask a support person for a password reset. The help

1 desk person may use a password set application, such as an exemplary  
2 PwdMgmtApp 426, to perform this operation at the user's request. The support  
3 person at the help desk 202 first asks the user 120 to give their user ID (e.g.,  
4 username, universal principal name (UPN), or user login name) and domain name  
5 to verify the identity of the user 120. The help desk support person might also ask  
6 a series of questions to verify identity (this part of the process is external to an  
7 exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 but in one implementation could be included in an  
8 exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426).

9 At block 1002, the support person at the help desk 202 finds user  
10 information in the identity integration system 102 using the PwdMgmtApp 426.  
11 In order to be allowed to perform this search, a help desk support person's user ID  
12 (e.g., username, user principal name (UPN), user login name, etc.) may have to  
13 belong to a special security group that grants permission to search connector  
14 objects (e.g., 412, 414, 416) and perform administrative password resets. If the  
15 help desk support person has the correct group membership or other validations,  
16 then the process proceeds as follows.

17 At block 1004, a password set application, such as one belonging to an  
18 exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426, may use an exemplary identity integration  
19 system's interface(s) 424, such as a WMI interface, to perform a search of  
20 connector objects 412, 414, 416 for the user's account(s), typically by means of  
21 the user's user ID and domain name.

22 At block 1006, this search determines if the user's accounts are validly  
23 accessible by communicating directly with a connected data source server (e.g.,  
24 1001) to get a user account identifier which in one implementation can be either an  
25 ACTIVE DIRECTORY<sup>®</sup> globally unique IDentifier (GUID) or a WINDOWS<sup>®</sup>

1 NT system identifier (SID). This identifier, which is an anchor in the connector  
2 space 410, is then used to obtain a user connector space object 412 from the  
3 exemplary identity integration system 102. If successful, the user's accounts are  
4 found to be validly accessible in the connected data source server 1001 and in the  
5 exemplary identity integration system 102 and the user's identity is considered to  
6 be verified.

7 As mentioned above, a user 120 may initiate action in a second manner, by  
8 navigating directly to a password change application, such as one included in a  
9 PwdMgmtApp 426. In this case, the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 determines the  
10 user's ID and domain name (the web server can determine the credentials of a user  
11 120 who is logged in) and at block 1002 attempts to find user information in the  
12 identity integration system 102. The exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426, when  
13 installed on the web server, is configured to use a special credential, known as the  
14 application pool identity. Just as a support person at a help desk 202 needs a  
15 group membership in order to be able to find a user's account, the application pool  
16 identity must have the right group membership in order to be able to find a user's  
17 account.

### 18 Locating a User's Accounts

19 At block 1008, to find related accounts to list on the webpage 428, when  
20 the user's credentials are authenticated and validated, either by the help desk  
21 person using an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 or by the exemplary PwdMgmtApp  
22 426 itself, both a password change and a password set application function in the  
23 same way to find a user's related accounts (e.g., 104, 106, 108). The process of  
24 listing accounts uses all the illustrated components of an exemplary password  
25 management system 400 shown in Fig. 10. The user account (e.g., 104) found at

1 block 1004 has a connector space GUID and a metaverse GUID. Another search  
2 of the connector space 410, e.g., via a WMI interface 424 using the metaverse  
3 GUID, is performed to retrieve a list of accounts (see webpage 428) that are  
4 related to the user 120.

5 At block 1010, the search will return at least one object (e.g., 412). For  
6 each object returned, the search also returns information about the MA (e.g., 418)  
7 associated with the object 412. This information includes a property value or bit  
8 that indicates whether or not the MA 418 is capable of password management, and  
9 another property value or bit that indicates whether or not the MA 418 has been  
10 configured to allow such password management operations. Yet another property  
11 value or bit indicates whether or not the MA 418 is configured to use a secure  
12 communication protocol.

13 At block 1012, the display of accounts can be altered based on user-  
14 extensible call outs and/or callbacks for which a user 120 or customer can provide  
15 code written (in one implementation) in a programming language such as  
16 VISUAL BASIC .NET™. At block 1014, these call outs and/or callbacks  
17 determine how to interpret, for example, an XML file that stores configuration  
18 information that determines the behavior of the PwdMgmtApp 426. The XML  
19 configuration options may include a list of object types in each connected data  
20 source 104, 106, 108 for which password management is valid.

21 At block 1016, depending on configuration options, whether or not objects  
22 returned to the account list are displayed in a webpage 428 can also depend on the  
23 status of the connected data source server 1001. The account listing process at  
24 block 1008 determines server status at block 1016 in the following manner. Each  
25 connector object (e.g., 412) returned to the account list at block 1008 includes a



1 GUID that determines the MA 418 that manages it. In one implementation, at  
2 block 1018, a WMI object class, such as “MIISManagementAgent” has an  
3 “IsServerUp” function that informs a calling process whether or not a connected  
4 data source server 1001 is operational and communicating, i.e., “up,” and whether  
5 or not the connection is secure. This function performs the following actions.

6 At block 1020, the above-mentioned IsServerUp function calls the  
7 connected data source server 1001 to determine if the connection to the connected  
8 data source server 1001 is secure. At block 1022, in order to make this  
9 determination, the configuration of the MA 418 that manages the connected data  
10 source 104 on the connected data source server 1001 is examined.

11 At block 1024, the IsServerUp function then tries to connect to the  
12 connected data source server 1001, e.g., using the MA’s “initialize” routine. The  
13 connection is attempted and at block 1026 the connected data source server 1001  
14 will respond if operational and communicating, i.e., up and running.

15 The accounts actually displayed at block 1008 on a webpage 428 may  
16 depend on: the status of a connected data source server 1001; the object type of  
17 each connector object 412, 414, 416 returned at block 1008; whether non-secure  
18 connections are to be excluded from the list; whether custom customer user-  
19 defined logic is implemented in callouts and/or callbacks, etc. An exemplary  
20 PwdMgmtApp 426 displays accounts and status on the webpage 428 for those  
21 accounts that fit the configuration options.

22 **Fig. 11** shows an exemplary password set method 1100 using a help desk  
23 202 that can be employed as a second segment of a password management process  
24 that uses the exemplary first segment described above with respect to Fig. 10. In  
25 the following flow diagram, the operations are summarized in individual blocks.

1 The processes in the flow diagram can be performed by example components in an  
2 exemplary password management system 400. Thus, the operations may be  
3 performed in hardware and/or as machine-readable instructions (software or  
4 firmware), e.g., that can be executed by a component of the exemplary password  
5 management system 400. The illustrated exemplary method 1100 describes at  
6 least in part interactions between a user 120, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426, an  
7 exemplary identity integration system 102, and exemplary interface(s) 424. In one  
8 implementation, the exemplary method 1100 is performed by components of an  
9 exemplary MIIS identity integration system.

10 At block 1102, a support person at a help desk 202 selects one or more user  
11 accounts for password setting, usually at a user's prompting. The support person  
12 typically peruses an account list generated in a first segment of a password  
13 management process such as that described above with respect to Fig. 10. If  
14 configuration options allow selection of user accounts being displayed then a  
15 support person at a help desk 202 can ascertain from a user 120 which accounts to  
16 select and deselect for password management.

17 At block 1104, the help desk support person either generates a new  
18 password using an external tool, or asks the user to provide one and types the new  
19 password into an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426. The help desk support person  
20 then submits the new password.

21 At block 1106, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 determines a status of a  
22 relevant connected data source server 1001. Since the account list that the help  
23 desk support person used for selecting user accounts at block 1102 contains  
24 enough information from connector objects 412, 414, 416 to perform password  
25

1 resetting, there is no need to perform any additional search (e.g., using WMI) to  
2 find objects. Each connector object 412, 414, 416 has an MA GUID property.

3 As block 1108, in one implementation a WMI object class, such as  
4 “MIISManagementAgent” includes an “IsServerUp” function that calls an  
5 exemplary identity integration system 102 to ascertain whether or not a connected  
6 data source server 801 is operational and communicating, i.e., “up,” and at block  
7 1110 whether or not the connection with the connected data source server 801 is  
8 secure, that is, the IsServerUp function reports on the state of the connection  
9 between the identity integration system 102 and a connected data source 104.

10 At block 1112, in order to make the above determination, a configuration of  
11 the MA 418 that manages the connected data source 104 on the connected data  
12 source server 801 is examined.

13 At block 1114, the IsServerUp function then tries to connect to the  
14 connected data source server 801, e.g., using an “initialize” routine of the MA  
15 418. The connection is attempted and at block 1116 the connected data source  
16 server 801 will respond if operational and communicating, i.e., up and running.

17 At block 1118, an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 consults configuration  
18 options, e.g., in an XML file, to determine whether or not attempts to set a  
19 password are to include those to be made over non-secure connections.

20 At block 1120, with respect to accounts for which an exemplary  
21 PwdMgmtApp 426 determines that a password set operation can be performed, the  
22 PwdMgmtApp 426 uses appropriate means to set the passwords, for example, in  
23 one MIIS implementation, a MIIS WMI API includes a SetPassword function.

24 At block 1122, in an MIIS implementation, the MIIS WMI API  
25 SetPassword function calls into the exemplary identity integration system 102 to

1 an ExportPasswordSet function associated with the MA 418 that manages data  
2 flow between the connector object 412 and the corresponding connected data  
3 source (104) for which the SetPassword function was called. The  
4 ExportPasswordSet function pushes the new password out to the connected data  
5 source (104).

6 In one implementation, a rule extension, i.e., a call out for custom logic, is  
7 made for MAs that do not natively support password management (such as various  
8 MAs for files and databases). A user may use such a call out to extend the  
9 functionality of an exemplary web application and an interface 424, such as a  
10 WMI API, by providing logic to communicate with a system for which the  
11 exemplary identity integration system 102 cannot natively set passwords.

12 Back at block 1124, an exemplary identity integration system 102  
13 communicates with the connected data source server 801 using credentials stored  
14 in the MA configuration and administratively sets the password for the account.  
15 In one implementation, if the credentials provided by the user are for a connected  
16 data source account 104 having the strongest password policy of all the accounts  
17 that appear in the account list on the webpage 428, then if the new password is  
18 accepted by that data source 104 the new password will not be rejected for reasons  
19 of policy violation by any of the other connected data sources (e.g., 106, 108)  
20 assuming their servers are available and all other configuration requirements have  
21 been met when the operation is attempted. In an alternative implementation, the  
22 new password is checked against a policy defined in an exemplary PwdMgmtApp  
23 426 and stored with the exemplary identity integration system configuration so  
24 that passwords submitted via the interface(s) 424 have to satisfy the policy  
25

1 regardless of whether or not a connected data source (e.g., one of 104, 106, 108)  
2 implements such a policy.

3 At block 1126, a status (e.g., success or fail) of the password set and/or  
4 reset operation is logged with the connector object 412 for which the password set  
5 operation was attempted. In a WINDOWS® SERVER implementation, an entry  
6 may be generated (not shown) in a host operating system event log for each  
7 operation attempted. The entry can include the identity of the user who requested  
8 the password set operation (the help desk person, in this case), the date and time of  
9 the operation, the type of operation, and the outcome.

10 At block 1128, in one implementation a user-extensible callback module  
11 may be loaded by an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 in order to execute whatever  
12 code a user 120 wants to execute after each attempted operation. This custom  
13 code can perform diverse tasks ranging from performing additional logging to  
14 sending the user's manager an email. In addition, after the entire collection of  
15 connector objects has been processed, another function in the callback module can  
16 allow custom logic to perform an action based on the status of the entire collection  
17 of objects processed. For instance, a user 120 could use a callback to set  
18 passwords in other systems during the same session, using the same information  
19 that was just used. As mentioned, a user 120 can also employ custom logic to  
20 extend the method that exports a password set operation (at blocks 1120 and  
21 1122).

22 At block 1130, the status of each password operation attempted and an  
23 overall status may be reported to the help desk support person.

24 **Fig. 12** shows an exemplary password change or set method 1200 via a user  
25 logon (self-service) that can be employed as a alternative second segment of a

1 password management process that uses the exemplary first segment described  
2 above with respect to Fig. 8. In the following flow diagram, the operations are  
3 summarized in individual blocks. The processes in the flow diagram can be  
4 performed by example components in an exemplary password management  
5 system 400. Thus, the operations may be performed in hardware and/or as  
6 machine-readable instructions (software or firmware), e.g., that can be executed by  
7 a component of the exemplary password management system 400. The illustrated  
8 exemplary method 1200 describes at least in part interactions between a user 120,  
9 an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426, an exemplary identity integration system 102,  
10 and exemplary interface(s) 424. In one implementation, the exemplary method  
11 1200 is performed by components of an exemplary MIIS identity integration  
12 system.

13 At block 1202, a user 120 selects accounts for password management. The  
14 user 120 peruses the user's list of accounts that was generated (assuming  
15 configuration options allow) in a process such as exemplary method 1000. If  
16 configuration options allow, the user 120 may select and deselect accounts freely.

17 At block 1204, a user 120 may enter a new password 434 into an exemplary  
18 PwdMgmtApp 426. In order for the new password 434 to be changed where the  
19 change operation is supported, a user 120 must typically also enter their old  
20 password 432. The old password 432 verifies the user's identity and allows the  
21 user 120 to employ a password change application without being a member of any  
22 group.

23 At block 1206, the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 obtains a status of a  
24 connected data source server 801 at blocks 1208, 1210, 1212, 1214, and 1216,  
25 using a process described above with respect to Fig. 11.

1 At block 1218, the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 consults configuration  
2 options, e.g., in an XML file, to determine whether or not the attempt to change or  
3 set password(s) is to be made over non-secure connections as well.

4 Since the user 120 has provided their new password 434 and their old  
5 password 432, a first set of password management operations is performed on  
6 those connected data sources wherein a password can be changed as opposed to  
7 only set.

8 At block 1220, the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 calls a change password  
9 function, such as a WMI MIISCSObject.ChangePassword method, for those  
10 connected data sources having accounts where this function is valid. As  
11 mentioned, a change password function typically requires a user's old password  
12 432 but does not require that the application pool identity perform any privileged  
13 operations by virtue of membership in any group.

14 At block 1222, a mechanism of the interface 424, e.g., a WMI provider,  
15 makes a call to an exemplary identity integration system's password change  
16 mechanism, such as an MIIS ExportPasswordChange method, for the identity of  
17 the MA 418 associated with the connector object 412 for which the change  
18 password function was called at block 1220.

19 At block 1224, the exemplary identity integration system 102  
20 communicates with the connected data source server 801 using information from  
21 the MA configuration at block 1212, and passes the user's credentials and the  
22 user's old password 432 in order to change the formerly operative password to the  
23 new password 434. As with the set password method described above with  
24 respect to Fig. 11, it is assumed in one implementation that the strongest password  
25

1 policy is defined in that account wherein the user 120 logged in when they began  
2 using the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426.

3 At block 1226, the status of the password change operation is logged with  
4 the connector object 412 for which the password change operation was attempted.  
5 As described above, the items logged may include the identity of the user who  
6 requested the password set operation (i.e., the help desk support person), the date  
7 and time of the operation, the type of operation, and the outcome.

8 At block 1228, regarding connected data sources (e.g., 106, 108) for which  
9 the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 determines that a set password operation must  
10 be performed because the data source does not provide a way to change the  
11 password with the existing MA (e.g., 420, 422), the exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426  
12 uses a set password function, such as an MIIS MIISCSObject.SetPassword  
13 method. A set password operation is typically performed using the application  
14 pool identity. The application pool identity's membership in the appropriate group  
15 grants the account the right to perform this function.

16 At block 1230, in one implementation a mechanism of the interface 424,  
17 e.g., a WMI provider, makes a call to the exemplary identity integration system's  
18 ExportPasswordSet method or similar function for the identity of the MA (e.g.,  
19 420) associated with the connector object 414 for which the set password function  
20 at block 1228 was called.

21 At block 1232, the exemplary identity integration system 102  
22 communicates with the connected data source server 801 using the credentials in  
23 the MA configuration at block 1212 and administratively sets the password for the  
24 connected data source 106.  
25



1 Back at block 1226, the status of the set password operation is logged with  
2 the connector object 414 for which the password set operation was attempted. In a  
3 WINDOWS<sup>®</sup> SERVER implementation, an entry may be generated (not shown) in  
4 a host operating system event log for each operation attempted. The entry can  
5 include the identity of the user who requested the password set operation (the help  
6 desk technician, in this case), the date and time of the operation, the type of  
7 operation, and the outcome.

8 At block 1234, in one implementation a user-extensible callback module  
9 may be loaded by an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 in order to execute whatever  
10 code a user 120 wants to execute after each attempted operation. As above, this  
11 custom code can do diverse tasks from performing additional logging to sending  
12 the user's manager an email. After all connector objects have been processed, the  
13 exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 may execute a callback to initiate additional  
14 functions based on the number of password operations that were successful, or  
15 perform other calculations or actions.

16 At block 1236, the status of each password operation attempted and an  
17 overall status may be reported to the user 120 and/or help desk support person.

18 It should be noted that since it is possible for some operations to fail  
19 unexpectedly, a retry timer (having a number of retry operations to attempt) can be  
20 employed so that an operation can be automatically performed again at a later  
21 time. Thus, a password management operation request can be stored and queried  
22 by help desk technicians trying to solve user password issues.

## Security in Exemplary Password Management Operations

Typically an exemplary identity integration system 102 utilizes known security measures such as certifying authorities and secure socket layer (SSL) technology, so that a client using an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 trusts the certifying authority issuing certificates. A client and the server running an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 can thereby mutually authenticate each other and establish trust. Of course, if the server for an exemplary PwdMgmtApp 426 is the same server hosting the exemplary identity integration system 102, security between the two exists by virtue of no information having to be transmitted over an external wire. If the PwdMgmtApp 426 and the exemplary identity integration system 102 are on different servers, then other security measures such as IPsec for securing TCP/IP data may be used invisibly through a client application. A typical secure configuration, therefore, might include SSL from a user 120 to a web server, and IPsec from the web server to the exemplary identity integration system server and from the exemplary identity integration system server to the various connected data sources 104, 106, 108 that have the accounts that the user 120 wants to manage passwords on. In addition, MAs may have an option to configure secure communications for the connection they manage. In MIIS implementations, each type of system for a connected data source 104, 106, 108 that an MA can communicate with has a secure connection option having at least some kind of encryption wherein data is transferred over the wire in at least a garbled format.

Other security measures include a feature that user passwords are never stored in an exemplary metaverse 406. If an MA 418 is set up to communicate with an ACTIVE DIRECTORY<sup>®</sup> domain or forest, the MA configuration data

1 may have a user ID and a password to be able to connect to its associated  
2 connected data source 104. But regarding user passwords for a user object 408 in  
3 the exemplary identity integration system 102, passwords are not saved and may  
4 be flushed from memory.

5 Some implementations of an exemplary identity integration system 102  
6 may use encryption and decryption methods in the exemplary interface(s) 424 to  
7 encrypt, scramble, and/or garble data for transmission over non-secure channels,  
8 or to store password information in files, directories, and/or databases for later  
9 retrieval and propagation to other connected data sources. In some  
10 implementations, an exemplary identity integration system 102 may also use  
11 encryption of integrated identity information in the metaverse 406.

### 12 13 Exemplary Identity Integration System

14 As shown in Fig. 13, an exemplary identity integration system 102  
15 according to the subject matter can be understood in terms of various layers. An  
16 exemplary rules layer 1302 provides rules (schemata, specifications, definitions,  
17 etc.) including exemplary flexible rules 1301—having call outs for custom logic—  
18 for implementing an exemplary identity integration system 102. These rules may  
19 drive, implement, or be actualized in various actions, agents, engines, and/or  
20 objects of other exemplary layers, such as an exemplary services layer 1304 for  
21 performing actions (e.g., management) and an exemplary storage layer 402 for  
22 holding information. In one implementation, the storage layer 402 has a connector  
23 space 410 (also called a “buffer”), which serves as an intermediate staging space  
24 for information 1310 going to or coming from a metaverse space 404 (also called a  
25 “core”). The connector space 410 may have its information 1310, 1332 imported

1 in a process known as “staging” 1314 from connected data 1316 stored in one of  
2 multiple disparate connected data sources (e.g., one of 104, 105, 106, 107, 108),  
3 such as a directory, a MICROSOFT® ACTIVE DIRECTORY® type of directory, a  
4 SUN ONE server, a LOTUS NOTES server, an SQL type database, a lightweight  
5 directory access protocol (LDAP) directory, a file, a metadirectory system, and  
6 other proprietary database and email address repositories. The metaverse space  
7 404 stores or persists information known as unified or “integrated identity  
8 information,” such as a user object 408, that is taken (i.e., preferred, selected,  
9 filtered, unified, integrated, etc.) from information 1310 in the connector space  
10 410, such as a connector object (412), according to the rules in the rules layer  
11 1302 in a process called “synchronizing” 1330(a), 1330(b). A piece or object of  
12 integrated identity information, such as the user object 408, provides a persistent  
13 view or representation of information that may be stored in many different forms  
14 and many different stages of completeness in the connected data sources (104,  
15 105, 106, 107, 108).

16 Synchronizing 1330 between the metaverse space 404 and the connector  
17 space 410 can be “inbound” 1330(a) to the metaverse space 404 or “outbound”  
18 1330(b) from the metaverse space 404. Thus, the integrated identity information  
19 in the metaverse space 404 is taken or derived only indirectly from the multiple  
20 disparate connected data sources since the connector space 410 intervenes. In  
21 synchronizing 1330, an exemplary flexible rule 1301 (e.g., embodied in an agent  
22 or service) performs (inbound) data aggregating by updating a piece of integrated  
23 identity information, such as the user object 408, in the metaverse space 404 based  
24 on information 1310 staged in the connector space 410 or, the same or another  
25 exemplary flexible rule performs (outbound) account managing by updating a

1 piece of information 1332 in the connector space 410 based on a piece of  
2 integrated identity information, e.g., in the user object 408, in the metaverse space  
3 404. Appropriate information from the updated information 1332 in the connector  
4 space 410 gets exported to an appropriate connected data source (e.g., one of 104,  
5 105, 106, 107, 108).

6 For exporting 1334, the user may select an attribute or value viewed in a  
7 piece of integrated identity information, such as the user object 408, to be applied  
8 to all connected data sources. An exemplary flexible rule (i.e., a rule that includes  
9 a call out for custom logic) may create a staged object to reflect the attribute or  
10 value to be exported. The same or another exemplary flexible rule 1301 then  
11 exports the attribute change or value to each relevant connected data source 104,  
12 105, 106, 107, 108.

13 Thus, once unified and/or integrated, the integrated identity information,  
14 such as a user object 408, in the metaverse space 404 may be used to administer  
15 the connected data sources. Through (outbound) synchronizing 1330(b), changes  
16 to a user object 408 can be represented in the connector space 410. Through  
17 “exporting” 1334, information 1332 in the connector space 410 can be distributed  
18 in order to change, augment, or replace information 1316’ in a connected data  
19 source 108.

20 Within this basic exemplary identity integration system 102 just described,  
21 the flexible rules 1301 provide opportunities for the user to customize the  
22 exemplary identity integration system 102 at many different points via flexible  
23 rule call outs 1303, without destroying the structure and function of the exemplary  
24 identity integration system 102. For example a flexible rule 1301 defining the  
25 process of staging 1314 may have a call out 1350 for custom logic that allows a

1 user 120 to connect an unconventional data source, including password  
2 management, to the exemplary identity integration system 102 and perform  
3 custom filtering of attributes. A flexible rule 1301 defining an inbound  
4 synchronization process 1330(a) may have a call out 1352 for custom logic that  
5 allows a user 120 to create custom, even counterintuitive, integrated identity  
6 information objects consisting of rarely-used combinations of attributes. A  
7 flexible rule 1301 for outbound synchronizing 1330(b) may have a call out 1354  
8 for custom logic that allows a user 120 to set up a unique system of automatically  
9 configuring accounts for new employees. Yet another flexible rule 1301 for  
10 exporting 1334 may have a call out 1356 for custom logic that allows a user 120 to  
11 perform custom password management on an unconventional connected data  
12 source or in an unconventional manner; or perform other custom actions such as  
13 outputting business updates to hundreds of heterogeneous accounts in various  
14 departments of a large multinational corporation.

15 In one implementation, an exemplary identity integration system 102 can  
16 be performed by a MICROSOFT® METADIRECTORY SERVICES  
17 metadirectory product or by a MICROSOFT® IDENTITY INTEGRATION  
18 SERVER ("MIIS") products, e.g., "MIIS 2003" or just "MIIS," providing an  
19 example environment for practicing the subject matter (Microsoft Corporation,  
20 Redmond, Washington).

21 The services layer 1304 can use or embody exemplary flexible rules 1301  
22 from the rules layer 1302 in MAs (e.g., 418, 420, 422) to cause information to  
23 flow and/or otherwise be manipulated. For example, in one implementation an  
24 MA embodying one or more of the exemplary flexible rules 1301 can discover the  
25 contents of a connected information source (e.g., one of 104, 105, 106, 107, 108),

1 call out for custom logic, (for example, custom logic to perform a data  
2 transformation on some of the information) and then place object entries from the  
3 connected data source (e.g., 104) into the connector space 410 according the  
4 inherent logic of the flexible rule and/or the custom logic obtained from the call  
5 out 1303. The same or another exemplary flexible rule can then call out again for  
6 custom logic and place an appropriate object from the connector space 410 into  
7 the metaverse space 404 according to the inherent logic of the flexible rule and/or  
8 the custom logic. Further, another process using an exemplary flexible rule may  
9 call out for custom logic and cause mapping of at least some information (e.g.,  
10 data, attributes, etc.) from an object in the metaverse space 404 to an object in the  
11 connector space 410 according to its inherent logic and/or the custom logic called  
12 out for. In the latter instance, yet another, or the original, process or agent using  
13 an exemplary flexible rule may yet again call out for custom logic and then cause  
14 mapping of at least some information from the object in the connector space 410  
15 to a connected data source (e.g., 104) according to the inherent logic of the  
16 flexible rule and/or the custom logic obtained from the call out.

17 In general, the exemplary flexible rules used “alone” or as embodied in an  
18 agent, MA, process, schema, etc., may be configured to call out for custom logic  
19 and to act in any specific manner to define and/or control various processes  
20 according to the inherent logic in the exemplary flexible rule and/or the custom  
21 logic called out for. The custom logic, to reiterate, is information set up by a user  
22 or other entity outside an exemplary identity integration system 102. For example,  
23 each MA 418, 420, 422 that uses an exemplary flexible rule 1301 may be  
24 inherently configurable to deploy inclusion logic, exclusion logic, attribute flow  
25 rules, filters, join and projection rules, object deletion rules, provisioning and

1 deprovisioning rules, etc. and also to call outside of the resources of the exemplary  
2 identity integration system 102, including the rules in the rule layers 1302 of the  
3 exemplary identity integration system 102, to obtain custom logic, e.g., from a  
4 user, not contemplated in stock customizations that might already be supplied with  
5 an exemplary identity integration system 102.

6 The exemplary flexible rules 1301 may allow a user 120 to perform actions,  
7 such as custom password management operations, beyond what may be  
8 performable in an MIIS IDENTITY MANAGER. For example implementing  
9 password changing, setting, and/or resetting on types of connected data that do not  
10 conventionally lend themselves to password management, creating new attribute  
11 values from a combination of existing attribute values, creating logic for  
12 sophisticated filters, resolving complex object conflicts, resolving unwanted  
13 "joins," handling advanced object ownership and attribute precedence,  
14 transforming and converting data types between different systems, may be beyond  
15 stock customizations possible with a given exemplary identity integration system  
16 102 or may be easier to implement with an exemplary flexible rule 1301.  
17 Sometimes there may be no obvious way to accomplish a task without an  
18 exemplary flexible rule call out 1303, for example, in some implementations  
19 wherein a new object needs to be provisioned into other systems. Upon detection  
20 or connection of a new information source to be connected by the exemplary  
21 identity integration system 102, an exemplary flexible rule may initiate a process  
22 that communicates information and generates an imported object into the  
23 connector space 410.

24 Some exemplary flexible rules 1301 can allow designers of identity  
25 integration systems much greater flexibility and power to implement business



1 logic in identity integration services, such as password management. Some  
2 exemplary flexible rules 1301 may be usable with the MICROSOFT® .NET™  
3 FRAMEWORK and can be created by a user or identity integration system  
4 administrator in a programming language that targets the MICROSOFT®  
5 COMMON LANGUAGE RUNTIME (CLR) (e.g., any programming language  
6 and compiler that creates a .NET™ FRAMEWORK class library, such as  
7 MICROSOFT® VISUAL BASIC .NET™, the C# programming language with the  
8 compiler shipped in MICROSOFT® VISUAL STUDIO® .NET™, MICROSOFT®  
9 PLATFORM SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT KIT (SDK), etc.).

10 In an MIIS context, the call out 1303 aspect of some exemplary flexible  
11 rules 1301 can be embodied in a rules extension, for example, in a MICROSOFT®  
12 .NET™ Framework assembly. Such an example assembly can be a class library in  
13 the form of a dynamic link library (.dll) file that implements a customized set of  
14 instructions for managing information.

15 **Fig. 14** shows an exemplary “identity information management process”  
16 (IIMP) 1400 that can be implemented using the exemplary flexible rules 1301 in  
17 the exemplary identity integration system 102. Exemplary flexible rules 1301  
18 used in the exemplary IIMP 1400 can be customized using multiple simultaneous  
19 types of customization, such as the stock type of customization and the call out  
20 1303 type of customization described above.

21 The exemplary IIMP 1400 includes the staging 1314, synchronizing  
22 1330(a), 1330(b), and exporting 1334 described above, and when viewed with  
23 respect to integrated identity information, such as a user object 408, stored in a  
24 metaverse space 404, then added levels of processing may be introduced that aim  
25 to provide functionality and ensure data integrity across more than one connected

1 information source (e.g., 1320, 1322, 1324, 1326, 1328). Such additional  
2 processes include, for example, data aggregating 1402, and account managing  
3 1404. Further, such additional processes may have sub-processes. For example,  
4 data aggregating 1402 may include joining 1406, projecting 1408, importing  
5 attributes 1410, join resolving 1407, connector filtering 1415, and data  
6 transforming, auditing, and/or pre-processing 1411, including password  
7 management operations. Joining 1406, in one implementation, is the process of  
8 linking a buffer object to a core object. Exemplary flexible rules for importing  
9 attributes 1410 can define which attributes flow from the connector space 410 to  
10 the metaverse space 404. In one implementation, joining 1406 includes the  
11 process of relating parts of the integrated identity information 1311 to each other.  
12 Data transforming, auditing, and/or pre-processing during staging and/or import  
13 can include normalizing inbound data, changing data formats, performing  
14 password management operations, calling out to systems external to an exemplary  
15 identity integration system 102, such as workflow systems, to request or trigger  
16 further processing, etc...

17 Account managing 1404 may include provisioning 1412, deprovisioning  
18 1414, exporting attributes 1416, object deleting 1418, and data transforming,  
19 auditing, and/or post-processing 1420. Data transforming, auditing, and/or post-  
20 processing 1420 during export can include reformatting data for an external  
21 system, normalizing outbound data, performing password management operations,  
22 calling out to an external system, such as a workflow system, to request or trigger  
23 further processing, etc...

24 In general, such processes and/or sub-processes may be controlled by any  
25 of a variety of the exemplary flexible rules 1301 having call outs for custom logic

1 that pertain to ensuring that the most valued, most correct, integrated identity  
2 information, such as a user object 408, resides in the metaverse space 404 and in  
3 one or more connected data sources (104, 105, 106, 107, 108), as appropriate.

#### 4 5 Exemplary Computing Device

6 **Fig. 15** shows an exemplary computer 1500 suitable as an environment for  
7 practicing aspects of the subject matter. The components of exemplary computer  
8 1500 may include, but are not limited to, a processing unit 1520, a system memory  
9 1530, and a system bus 1521 that couples various system components including  
10 the system memory 1530 to the processing unit 1520. The system bus 1521 may  
11 be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory  
12 controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus  
13 architectures. By way of example, and not limitation, such architectures include  
14 Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, Micro Channel Architecture (MCA)  
15 bus, Enhanced ISA (EISAA) bus, Video Electronics Standards Association  
16 (VESA) local bus, and Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus also known  
17 as the Mezzanine bus.

18 Exemplary computer 1500 typically includes a variety of computer-  
19 readable media. Computer-readable media can be any available media that can be  
20 accessed by exemplary computer 1500 and includes both volatile and nonvolatile  
21 media, removable and non-removable media. By way of example, and not  
22 limitation, computer-readable media may comprise computer storage media and  
23 communication media. Computer storage media include volatile and nonvolatile,  
24 removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or technology  
25 for storage of information such as computer-readable instructions, data structures,

1 program modules, or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not  
2 limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology,  
3 CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical disk storage, magnetic  
4 cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices,  
5 or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which  
6 can be accessed by exemplary computer 1500. Communication media typically  
7 embodies computer-readable instructions, data structures, program modules or  
8 other data in a modulated data signal such as a carrier wave or other transport  
9 mechanism and includes any information delivery media. The term “modulated  
10 data signal” means a signal that has one or more of its characteristics set or  
11 changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of  
12 example, and not limitation, communication media includes wired media such as a  
13 wired network or direct-wired connection and wireless media such as acoustic,  
14 RF, infrared and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above should  
15 also be included within the scope of computer readable media.

16 The system memory 1530 includes computer storage media in the form of  
17 volatile and/or nonvolatile memory such as read only memory (ROM) 1531 and  
18 random access memory (RAM) 1532. A basic input/output system 1533 (BIOS),  
19 containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements  
20 within exemplary computer 1500, such as during start-up, is typically stored in  
21 ROM 1531. RAM 1532 typically contains data and/or program modules that are  
22 immediately accessible to and/or presently being operated on by processing unit  
23 1520. By way of example, and not limitation, Fig. 15 illustrates operating system  
24 1534, the exemplary identity integration system 102, application programs 1535,  
25 other program modules 1536, and program data 1537. Although the exemplary

1 identity integration system 102 is depicted as software in random access memory  
2 1532, other implementations of an exemplary identity integration system 102 can  
3 be hardware or combinations of software and hardware.

4 The exemplary computer 1500 may also include other removable/non-  
5 removable, volatile/nonvolatile computer storage media. By way of example only,  
6 Fig. 15 illustrates a hard disk drive 1541 that reads from or writes to non-  
7 removable, nonvolatile magnetic media, a magnetic disk drive 1551 that reads  
8 from or writes to a removable, nonvolatile magnetic disk 1552, and an optical disk  
9 drive 1555 that reads from or writes to a removable, nonvolatile optical disk 1556  
10 such as a CD ROM or other optical media. Other removable/non-removable,  
11 volatile/nonvolatile computer storage media that can be used in the exemplary  
12 operating environment include, but are not limited to, magnetic tape cassettes,  
13 flash memory cards, digital versatile disks, digital video tape, solid state RAM,  
14 solid state ROM, and the like. The hard disk drive 1541 is typically connected to  
15 the system bus 1521 through a non-removable memory interface such as interface  
16 1540, and magnetic disk drive 1551 and optical disk drive 1555 are typically  
17 connected to the system bus 1521 by a removable memory interface such as  
18 interface 1550.

19 The drives and their associated computer storage media discussed above  
20 and illustrated in Fig. 15 provide storage of computer-readable instructions, data  
21 structures, program modules, and other data for exemplary computer 1500. In Fig.  
22 15, for example, hard disk drive 1541 is illustrated as storing operating system  
23 1544, application programs 1545, other program modules 1546, and program data  
24 1547. Note that these components can either be the same as or different from  
25 operating system 1534, application programs 1535, other program modules 1536,

1 and program data 1537. Operating system 1544, application programs 1545, other  
2 program modules 1546, and program data 1547 are given different numbers here  
3 to illustrate that, at a minimum, they are different copies. A user may enter  
4 commands and information into the exemplary computer 1500 through input  
5 devices such as a keyboard 1562 and pointing device 1561, commonly referred to  
6 as a mouse, trackball, or touch pad. Other input devices (not shown) may include  
7 a microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and  
8 other input devices are often connected to the processing unit 1520 through a user  
9 input interface 1560 that is coupled to the system bus, but may be connected by  
10 other interface and bus structures, such as a parallel port, game port, or a universal  
11 serial bus (USB). A monitor 1591 or other type of display device is also  
12 connected to the system bus 1521 via an interface, such as a video interface 1590.  
13 In addition to the monitor 1591, computers may also include other peripheral  
14 output devices such as speakers 1597 and printer 1596, which may be connected  
15 through an output peripheral interface 1595.

16 The exemplary computer 1500 may operate in a networked environment  
17 using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote  
18 computer 1580. The remote computer 1580 may be a personal computer, a server,  
19 a router, a network PC, a peer device or other common network node, and  
20 typically includes many or all of the elements described above relative to  
21 exemplary computer 1500, although only a memory storage device 1581 has been  
22 illustrated in Fig. 15. The logical connections depicted in Fig. 15 include a local  
23 area network (LAN) 1571 and a wide area network (WAN) 1573, but may also  
24 include other networks. Such networking environments are commonplace in  
25 offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets, and the Internet.

1       When used in a LAN networking environment, the exemplary computer  
2 1500 is connected to the LAN 1571 through a network interface or adapter 1570.  
3 When used in a WAN networking environment, the exemplary computer 1500  
4 typically includes a modem 1572 or other means for establishing communications  
5 over the WAN 1573, such as the Internet. The modem 1572, which may be  
6 internal or external, may be connected to the system bus 1521 via the user input  
7 interface 1560, or other appropriate mechanism. In a networked environment,  
8 program modules depicted relative to the exemplary computer 1500, or portions  
9 thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. By way of example,  
10 and not limitation, Fig. 15 illustrates remote application programs 1585 as residing  
11 on memory device 1581. It will be appreciated that the network connections  
12 shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a communications link  
13 between the computers may be used.

## 16       **CONCLUSION**

17       The foregoing describes exemplary password management systems and  
18 related methods. The subject matter described above can be implemented in  
19 hardware, in software, or in both hardware and software. In certain  
20 implementations, the exemplary password management operations, exemplary  
21 password management web applications, exemplary interfaces, exemplary identity  
22 integration systems, exemplary flexible rules, identity information management  
23 processes, and other related methods may be described in the general context of  
24 computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, being executed by a  
25 computer. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects,

1 components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement  
2 particular abstract data types. The subject matter can also be practiced in  
3 distributed communications environments where tasks are performed over wireless  
4 communication by remote processing devices that are linked through a  
5 communications network. In a wireless network, program modules may be  
6 located in both local and remote communications device storage media including  
7 memory storage devices.